

Postscript
Gwen Pate Is At It Again

Yesterday's [report discussed](#) the dubious representations made by Gwen Pate, Director of USM's School of Accountancy, about the School. Not discussed was [her claim](#) that the School's accreditation by the AACSB (Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business) is additional evidence of the quality of USM's School: "We are already among an elite group of only 170 accounting programs separately accredited by AACSB," said Dr. Gwen Pate, director of the Southern Miss School of Accountancy."

One of the problems with Pate's claim is USM's administrators and faculty at the School of Accountancy [plagiarized parts](#) of their submission documents to AACSB. Keep in mind that the AACSB does not confirm that representations in submission documents represent what is actually happening at a School. Here are the details. (Please go to next page.)

Ethics, Power, and Academic Corruption

Testing Social Reality

Part 1

AACSB AFFIRMS PLAGIARIZING OTHER MEMBERS' DOCUMENTS

Chauncey M. DePree, Jr., DBA
Professor
School of Accountancy
College of Business
University of Southern Mississippi
Hattiesburg, MS, USA 39406

Introduction

During preparations for reaccreditation, a colleague noticed that our College Accreditation Committee represented other Colleges' documents as their own. He consulted several faculty including this researcher. We advised then-Dean Harold Doty and the Committee that the documents were without attribution. The events were immediately identified as an opportunity to test social reality—the reliability—of institutions' and leaders' behavior vis-à-vis the institution's representations. (See, DePree, "A General Theory to Test Social Reality.") The Dean and Committee members ignored requests to discuss the copied documents. Subsequently, Dean Doty submitted the questionable materials to the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) during reaccreditation. After internal efforts, both informal and formal, to discuss the documents failed, faculty informed the AACSB that the documents were copied "without proper citation"—a term used by Charles Jordan who copied other school's documents. The actions of the AACSB inform their constituency, students, and public of a neglected dimension of accreditation: What does the AACSB do when challenged with evidence of alleged violations of its standards? In other words, is the AACSB a reliable authority on academic quality?

Faculty and administrators had choices of well-known university and accreditation principles and rules to follow or refuse to follow as the events unfolded. The choices and evidence in this report support the conclusion that accreditation is not a reliable authority on academic quality. Of course, we consider the alternative interpretation: The AACSB is signaling new standards. We are witnessing change. Among them is that plagiarism is now accepted behavior. Implications and recommendations are discussed in Part 2. Students and colleagues should take note of the new ethics of copying "without proper citation."

For complete article, click here: [Ethics, Power, and Academic Corruption. Testing Social Reality. Parts 1 and 2, AACSB Affirms Plagiarizing Other Members' Documents](#)